



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/033,993 | 12/28/2001 | Susan McConnell | G&C 130.39-US-01 | 1833 |

22462 7590 05/29/2008
GATES & COOPER LLP
HOWARD HUGHES CENTER
6701 CENTER DRIVE WEST, SUITE 1050
LOS ANGELES, CA 90045

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

KOHARSKI, CHRISTOPHER

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

3763

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

05/29/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/033,993 | Applicant(s) MCCONNELL ET AL. | |
| | Examiner CHRISTOPHER D. KOHARSKI | Art Unit 3763 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHRISTOPHER D. KOHARSKI.

(3) William Wood (Reg#42,236).

(2) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 19 May 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: n/a.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,2,4,9-25,30-37,43-59,64-68 and 107.

Identification of prior art discussed: Dragon (USPN5,236,143), Pierce (USPN5,392,808) and Synsteliem(USPN4,200,429).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner and Applicant's Representative discussed proposed amendments to overcome the prior art of record drawn to further clarification of the winding and lock retaining mechanism present on the conduit feeder.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Christopher D Koharski/
Examiner, Art Unit 3763

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required